

**Comments for ANSI/VITA Process**

<p><b>Ballot: Procedures for the Development of American National Standards within the VITA Standards Organization, Revision 0.2</b> <b>Name: Jim Koser</b> <b>Ballot: DISAPPROVE</b></p>	
<p>Comments:</p> <p>Section 10.1 should be revised to state that anyone with IP or IP pending must make their RAND terms available to anyone wishing to license this product 50 days prior to time of selection. This is to insure that the terms are reasonable before the committee makes a decision to adopt a design that is restrictive.</p>	

<b>Ballot: Procedures for the Development of American National Standards within the VITA Standards Organization, Revision 0.2</b> <b>Name: John Hill</b> <b>Ballot: APPROVE</b>	<b>Response</b>
a) In section 2.1: My understanding is that the ANSI PINS has fallen into disuse. If this can be confirmed, then I suggest removal of section 2.1.	
b) In section 3: I think the name of the section is misleading (it is a list of interested persons, not a list of ballots).	
c) In section 12: Such things could be included in non-normative (i.e., informative) parts of the standard.	